

# Comparing deals

There have been some significant changes to your subject coverage at your institution and the library is reviewing a lot of the big deals.

Your institution currently takes the Wiley Full collection via Jisc Collections. You have been tasked with investigating the impact of moving to a smaller collection such as the STM, SSH or Medical and Nursing.

One part of this involves looking at the usage data.

## Usage of titles in the deal

1. Generate the report “View usage of titles and deals” for Wiley. How many titles were in the 2015 deal? How many titles are in the report overall?

|                                     |      |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| Number of titles in 2015 collection | 1574 |
| Number of titles in 2015 report     | 2436 |

2. Which non-deal title has the highest usage? How are your users accessing the title?

|            |                               |
|------------|-------------------------------|
| 2015 usage | STEM CELLS                    |
| Access     | It is a core/subscribed title |

3. Run “Number of titles and requests in various usage ranges” for the 2015 calendar year and complete the table below for titles in the deal:

| <i>Usage range</i>                | <i>Number of Wiley Full Collection titles in usage range</i> |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <i>Very high (1000+ accesses)</i> | 171  |
| <i>High (100-999 accesses)</i>    | 811  |
| <i>Medium (10-99 accesses)</i>    | 467  |
| <i>Low (1-9 accesses)</i>         | 89   |
| <i>Nil (0 accesses)</i>           | 34   |

## Usage trends

- Run the trends over time report for 2014 and 2015, and then fill in the details in the graph.

| Year | Jan            | Feb             | Mar             | Apr            | May            | Jun            | Jul            | Aug            | Sep            | Oct             | Nov             | Dec            | Total            |
|------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|
| 2014 | 44961<br>(19)  | 45969 (13)      | 62833 (20)      | 54054<br>(12)  | 49223 (3)      | 29438 (6)      | 32479<br>(15)  | 29199 (7)      | 31224 (3)      | 55703 (2)       | 63545 (4)       | 48191 (0)      | 546819<br>(104)  |
| 2015 | 68354<br>(846) | 64191<br>(1197) | 81803<br>(1427) | 81370<br>(803) | 69135<br>(561) | 47622<br>(519) | 49174<br>(584) | 46918<br>(429) | 41180<br>(328) | 76634<br>(1217) | 89901<br>(1180) | 61404<br>(465) | 777686<br>(9556) |



## High use titles

- Generate the report "JR1 reports excluding backfile usage and GOA" for 2015 and complete the following table:

| <i>Top five titles (excluding archive usage)</i> | JR1 – JR1a |
|--|------------|
| Angewandte Chemie International Edition          | 31,779     |
| Journal of Advanced Nursing                      | 14,348     |
| Chemistry - A European Journal                   | 10,133     |

|                             |       |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Advanced Materials          | 8,452 |
| Journal of Clinical Nursing | 7,506 |

6. Which of these top five titles are “core”?

Journal of Advanced Nursing  
Journal of Clinical Nursing

7. It is important that you retain access to all of these high use titles, including those that are not core titles. Use the report “Compare two deals from the same publisher” 2015 to do a quick check that you would retain access to the “non-core” titles under the STM deal.

You would lose access to *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* but keep access to *Advanced Materials* and *Chemistry - A European Journal*

Note: This title information comes directly from Knowledge Base+ (KB+) or from other published sources. We do not actively maintain these lists once we have collected them so they will not include subsequent changes that the publishers have made.

## Other reports

8. What other report(s) may be useful? How would you use them?

Usage profiling may be useful for comparing usage to other institutions in your group.

Annual summary of publisher usage could be useful in comparing usage to other publishers. Combined with “List all deals and title counts” this could be used to compare average use.